



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,353	07/22/2003	Sascha Baumeister	DE920020019US1	7584

30206 7590 02/17/2011  
IBM CORPORATION  
ROCHESTER IP LAW DEPT. 917  
3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH  
ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829

EXAMINER
----------

CHRISTENSEN, SCOTT B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2444

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

02/17/2011

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rociplaw@us.ibm.com

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/624,353	BAUMEISTER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Scott Christensen	2444

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 31 January 2011 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☒ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

Applicant argues in the response filed 1/31/2011 that the amendments, as in the Examiner Amendments from 12/30/2010 is not what was agreed to. This is correct. The Examiner inadvertently placed the language "non-transitory" in the wrong position in claim 11. Accordingly, the amendments filed on 1/31/2011 under 37 CFR 1.312 are approved for entry.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

/S. C./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2444